

PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES STUDY REPORT

Presented to the Behavioral Health
Partnership Oversight Council
September 13, 2017

Overview

- ▣ Section 356 of PA 15-5
 - Study the adequacy of psychiatric services in CT
 - Examine inpatient and outpatient
 - Focus on children and adults
 - Collaborate with range of stakeholders
 - Submit a report to Legislature by 1/1/17
- ▣ Primary impetus came from hospital concerns re access to state inpatient beds

Assumptions

- ▣ Focus on better managing existing resources
- ▣ Development of low cost innovations
- ▣ CT budget realities limited new resources
- ▣ ACA changes might have unforeseen consequences
- ▣ Inpatient access integrally linked to maturity of community resources

Methodology

- ▣ Catalogued existing MH resources
- ▣ Mapped location of services
- ▣ Examined capacities and utilization
- ▣ Compared IP bed capacities to nation and other NE states
- ▣ Coordinated with CT Hospital Association, DCF, and DSS
- ▣ Separate adult and children sections in report

Data Sources

- ▣ New England states “self report”
- ▣ Other reports – Colorado and Treatment Advocacy Center “No Room at the Inn”
- ▣ OHCA Annual Hospital Reporting system
- ▣ DMHAS state-op and PNP data systems
- ▣ DCF data
- ▣ UCONN Health Correctional Managed Health Care Annual Report

National Trends

- ▣ Impacts of the Great Recession
- ▣ Continued reduction of public inpatient beds
- ▣ Inadequate investment in community services
- ▣ Growth in forensic beds
- ▣ Increase in “Emergency Department Boarding”
- ▣ Wait list for inpatient psychiatric services
- ▣ Silting up of patients with complex histories
- ▣ Fragmentation within MH system

CT Adult Inpatient Findings

- ▣ Per capita bed capacity among best in nation
- ▣ State-op beds largely constant for 20 years
- ▣ State-op beds are used well but turnover rates have slowed
- ▣ Forensic patients are indirectly impacting beds
- ▣ Wait times for state beds have increased
- ▣ Private hospitals have excess capacity
- ▣ No mechanism to track real time beds

CT Findings cont.

- ▣ State and private hospitals dealing with more complex patients
- ▣ State beds reserved for more complex patients requiring longer lengths of stay
- ▣ More intermediate bed capacity could relieve pressure on state beds

CT Outpatient Findings

- ▣ Rich array of diversionary services
- ▣ Intensive community services are strategically positioned
- ▣ Comprehensive array of residential beds
- ▣ Residential services generally used well
- ▣ Movement out is insufficient for demand
- ▣ Special discharge funds are needed for flow
- ▣ Potential resource at 60 West

Recommendations

- ▣ Create real time bed tracking capacity
- ▣ Improve management of existing resources
 - Regionalize respite beds and track availability
 - Expand DMHAS UM capacities
 - Move CRMHC beds to CVH
 - Review patients to determine fit for 60 West
 - Maintain use of CCT's to enhance diversion
- ▣ Standardize wait list data

Recommendations

New Resources

- ▣ Consider developing more intermediate beds in private hospitals
- ▣ Develop specialized fund/resources for patients that do not meet medical necessity
- ▣ Increase availability of high intensity residential programs
- ▣ Maintain annual increases to Discretionary Discharge funds

Resources

- ▣ CO Dept. of Human Services Behavioral Health Needs Analysis
- ▣ Going, Going, Gone: Trends and Consequences of Eliminating State Psychiatric Beds (TAC)
- ▣ No Room at the Inn, Trends and Consequences of Closing Public Psychiatric Hospitals (TAC)
- ▣ CT DMHAS/DCF Psychiatric Services Study Report

http://www.ct.gov/dmhas/lib/dmhas/publications/dmhas-dcf_psychiatric_services_report.pdf

Q and A

Contact Information

Jim Siemianowski CT DMHAS

Director of EQMI

James.siemianowski@ct.gov

860-418-6810